

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.  
Muz. L<sup>d</sup> 2-17-1-14 (Muzrai)

1922-

File No. 418-22

Serial Nos. /- 8

Later references

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for October 1924.

---

### SUBJECT

Submit for sanction an estimate for Rs 2110/-  
for the renovation of the temple of Amritwara  
Swami at Amritpur in the Tavikere Taluq.

---

G. O. No. 579-82

Dated 23.10.24

To the Ch. Engineer

" Comptroller

" Muz. Commr

" Registrar, M. U.

Sanctioned



1922.

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mayra)

## NOTES.

File No. 218-22

## FILE HEADING.

Repairs to Amriseswar and Temple  
at Amritpur in Larikar Taluk

From

Registrar Mysore University - Mysore

No. 10190.

Dated

8-5-22

Received

10-5-22

Diary No. 2100

Enclos. Two. Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

## BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommending to take up the renovation  
of the temple immediately as it is the first class  
monument and is the best of its kind in the

## NOTES AND ORDERS.

An Estimate of Rs 6630 having been recd  
from the S. W. D. for the repairs of this temple  
the Ch. Engr. was in the opinion letter  
No 8344/mur 482-17-114 of 12-5-22 informed  
that the S. W. D. of the repairs of the temple

NOTES—First Sheet.

he allowed to be over owing financial  
stringency

Muz. File No.

of 19

Serial  
No.

2 The inscription of the Mysa University  
now brings to notice that the inscription  
a first class monument and the best  
of its kind and supports the recom-  
mendation of the Director of Arch.  
Researches that it requires great  
repairs.

3. The temple has no funds of its  
own and the Ch. Insp<sup>r</sup> States twice  
viz once in Jul 1918 and again  
in Decr 1919 that no funds could  
be re-appropriated for this purpose  
from the F. W. D. grants.

4. Reply is requested to the note  
of 16-10-20 of the then Director of  
Arch<sup>l</sup> Researches (Mr. R. N. Sankar) that  
the temple should be repaired  
at once.

5. If approved, copies of the letter  
now recd from the Registrar of the  
Mysa University - ~~to the~~ <sup>to the</sup> Enclosure,  
may be sent to the Alor Com<sup>r</sup> for  
his opinion and info as to how  
the cost may be met.

Sd/-

12/5/23

6. 11/12/23

\*  
Under 21-22 in  
Muz. File 482-17

Muz. File No. 418 of 1922

Serial  
No.1. Draft letter to the Alwarai Comm<sup>n</sup>, dated  
Muz.

15.5.23. 86

15/5/23

8 All 6 1/2  
71

2

N<sup>o</sup> 2865 of 11/5/23 to the  
Muz. Comm<sup>n</sup>.For. Copies of letters Nos  
10190 of 8/5/23 & encls. And  
Call for his opinion in the  
matter.

Muz

3

N. C. 1633 of 22.23 of 28.5.  
1923 from the Muz. Comm<sup>n</sup>furnishes his opinion re  
the renovation of the temple  
& states that the cost for the  
purpose may be met from the  
interest income of the Govt. Bur.  
Fid. for this or the local Govt.9. The estimate of Rs 6630 recd. from the Govt. Bur.  
were - - - - -acct. of financial stringency  
with this office & the Govt. Bur.  
Muz 482-17 of 12.5.23.Muz. Comm<sup>n</sup> in letter &  
Modification

2107  
Muz. File No. 418 of 1922Serial  
No.

recd. so as to provide for the  
works most urgent at  
present. Draft put up

Rd

7/6/23 (1st member)  
4

7/4/23

Draft put up.  
Muz.

9945-76  
5.6 23

10. On for 2 proposed

Recd  
5.6.23

7/6/23

11. Issue

7/6/23

4

no 2969 4/7/23 to Mr  
Ch. Engr. for Roads & B.R.

Requests to send an  
estimate for the immediate re-  
pairs required to the temple -

1/11/23

5

From the Registrar, Mysore University,  
letter no. 2332 of 31-8-23.

Forwards for information and necessary  
action a copy of the notes of inspection  
by the S.D.O. Tarikere of the Amritheswara  
temple at Amritapura, Tarikere, in con-  
tinuation of his letter no. 10190 of 8-5-23,  
which is Sl. no 1 in this file.

May File No. 418 of 1922

Serial  
No.

12 The Registrar of the University, under his letter of 3<sup>rd</sup> May 1923 (st 1) the inspection notes of the then S. D. O. Mr. Chakrabarti. An estimate for the repairs of the temple has been called for from the Ch. Sug. and it is still due. As mentioned in the last month in the inspection report of the temple now sent, it will be seen that the inspecting officer is Mr. Mohamed Samiel Sheriff, ~~Esq.~~ B. A., S. D. O. Dindigul. In addition to the remarks <sup>made</sup> by the previous S. D. O., some general remarks regarding the architectural importance of the temple and its renovation, the insufficiency of the architect's pay etc have been made. It is also suggested therein that an appeal could be made to the Lingayat community and to the Lingayat Swamy for pecuniary help for the renovation of the temple, as it is a temple of that community.

13 If approved, the proposal letter of the Registrar, with its inclos. will be forwarded to the M. C. for perusal & if not in original, copies will be sent.

12/9/23 14. Copies of the papers may be sent to the Ch. Sug. & Mr. Cur. in cont<sup>n</sup> of the Com<sup>n</sup> from the

File No. 418 of 1922

Serial  
No.

with the request that the matter  
may be expedited

*LL*

15 Yes *LL*  
12/9/23

12/9/23

Draft subd.

88 - *LL* 16 Issue  
18/9/23 19/9/23 19/9/23

6

No 472 of 21/7/23 to the  
Ch. Engr. for Nos. 1 & 1880.

For a copy of sl. 5 and  
requests to send the revised esti-  
mate early.

For the Chief Engineer of Mysore  
No. 2922 of the 28. D. 1924.

Forwards for sanction and allotment  
of funds an estimate amounting to  
Rs 2110 for the renovation of the Sri Amritas  
Swami Temple at Amritapur in the Taluk  
17 It is admitted on all hands that  
~~on all hands the fact that the~~  
renovation of this temple is very necessary  
the amount at the credit of this temple

Serial  
No.

was only Rs 275-4-8 on 1st Jan 1923 while the estimate for repairs immediately needed amounts to Rs 2110/-.

18 It is for orders if as proposed by the Muzrai Comm<sup>r</sup> the cost of reconstruction may be debited to the sum of Rs 10000/- set apart for "Special improvement of Muzrai Institutions" - vide his Memo. no C 1633 of 29-2-23 of 2/6-8-1924 or met out of "the interest income of the General Muzrai Fund" for the current year - as suggested in his letter no C 1633 of 14-2-23 1, 24.5.1923.

Subd

19 The estimate for Rs 2,120 for the repairs of the Sri Annamallayam Swami temple has been reduced by the Chief Insp<sup>r</sup> Office for Rs 2,110 and has been countersigned both by the Dy Comm<sup>r</sup> Madras & and the Director of Archaeology. The Mus Com<sup>r</sup> recommends that the charge may be met from the interest earnings of the Genl Mus Fd. - May this be sanctioned?

24

6/3/24

*[Signature]*

2nd member

File No. 418 of 1922.

Serial  
No.

I believe that a lot of  
work is in anticipation for the future  
Earnings of the Govt. The fund is  
called for for in that case. The  
the end is in <sup>from</sup> the perspective of  
10%

21. We cannot further away the accounts  
charge only the cost as to find that the  
members in all kinds of works which  
a regular programme? It work  
is in anticipation for is.

9.9  
9.9

22. He is invited to 877-8  
Mar 146-23-2  
of 3-1-24, paras 3-4. The Mar Com  
says in this Com. that the programme  
of works up to the order will be sent  
up on receipt of the recd. staff <sup>called in</sup>  
the D. Com. of Bangalore & the other one  
recd. - He has been reminded again  
in this matter.

10.9.24

248  
109

2nd member) 23. Please note to me

The Com. is doing really  
+ we are in the programme  
can be expected from them.

Also note up to 10.9.24  
with already secured for the  
cannot be done for

General and Revenue  
Secretariat

File No. 418 of 1922

Serial  
No.2180 Fi  
7-10

24. In reply to a D.O. reminder issued on the 5<sup>th</sup> instant, the Muz. Comr. has <sup>written</sup> ~~replied~~ that the statement from the D.C. Bangalore has not yet been recd., that a D.O. reminder has been issued to him & that a consolidated staff would be subd. immediately on receipt of information from the D.C.

25. As regards works sanctioned out of the State Ex. unt, information reqd. has been called for in the file relating to the Gumbaz.

26. So, the replies from the Muz. Comr. on the two points will, if approved, be awaited.

SD 11/9/24 11.9.24 27 Draft as per member's order  
D.D. 15/9/24

28 Draft letter subd.

SD 16.9.24 16.9.24 29. 19.9.24

30. Draft as per the order  
in sanctioning the estimate. The  
com. has now gone to the D.C. for  
final order. The work started as an  
immediate order to the D.C.

89  
5/10/24

32) in apple

2 members

35) ART

15720 15721

34) 186  $\overline{) 151000}$

M. S. To the Chief Engineer, the Messrs Commissioners,  
San Francisco, California, U.S.A., No. 79 St. 23-10-26.  
Enclosed herewith is \$2110, the cost being met  
from the interest earnings of the yet Chicago Fund.

No. 10190  
Eus Two

Office of the Mysore University,  
Dated Mysore, ..... 8<sup>th</sup> May..... 192

REV. SEC.  
Muz  
TO MAY 1922

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,  
Registrar, Mysore University,

2100 72-27.  
Muz 482-17-15  
418-22-1

To


The General Secretary, to the Government of  
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,  
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Memo No. 6345-E/Muz.482-17/11 dated the 12th May 1922 from the Secretary to Government, Mysore Department, addressed to the Director of Archaeological Researches stating that the work of excavation of the site of the temple at Annapur, in the district of Channarayana, is over and the Director of Archaeological Researches reports in his letter No. 349/153 of the 4th May 1923 (copy enclosed) that the temple is a first class monument and is the best of its kind in the State. As the temple is in need of urgent repairs, he strongly recommends that the work may be taken up immediately.

The report of inspection of the monument made by the Revenue Subdivisional Officer, Channarayana, subsequent to the Memo under reference is enclosed for the information of Government.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

  
Registrar.


Letter No.349/452 dated the 4th May 1923 from the  
Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore, Mysore, to  
the Registrar, Mysore University, Mysore.

-----

I have the honour to forward herewith the entire file  
of correspondence relating to the Amritesvaraswami temple  
at Amritapura, Tarikere taluk, and to state that the question  
of repairing the same has been pending disposal for some-  
time past.

The temple is a 1st class monument and is the best of  
its kind in the State. There is said to be only a small  
sum of Rs.275-1-8 at its credit, which is quite inadequate for  
the purpose. As it stands in need of urgent repairs, I  
strongly recommend that the work may be taken up immediately  
and the cost debited to the interest earnings of the General  
Muzrai Fund, which is said to be available for operation  
during the current year. If early orders of Government are  
obtained it may be possible to carry out at least a portion of  
the estimate before the close of the official year.

-----  
'Copy'



Registrar.

4

# APPENDIX II B.

## REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF ANCIENT MONUMENTS IN THE STATE.

(TO BE SUBMITTED BY REVENUE SUB-DIVISION OFFICERS.)

(As required in Government Order No. G. 6045-105/G. M. 194-17-8, dated the  
14th September 1920)

Name of Institution. *Amrutashwara Temple*  
Place. *Amrutapura - Taluk. Tarikere*  
District. *Kadur*  
Name of Inspecting Officer. *Mr. S. Subrahmanya* BA 36  
Designation. *Revenue sub-Division Officer*  
Date of Inspection. *18.8.1922.*

|                                                                                                                                               | Remarks by Sub-Division Officer                                                                                                     | Remarks by the |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| I. Nature of Monument.                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                     | I              |
| (a) Is it a Temple, Mosque, Darga, Tomb, Building, Inscription, Memorial, etc?                                                                | <i>Siva Temple</i>                                                                                                                  |                |
| (b) If it is a Muzrai Institution, is it a Major Institution or a Minor one?                                                                  | <i>Minor</i>                                                                                                                        |                |
| (c) Are there any funds at the credit of the institution? If so, what was the amount available at the beginning of the current official year? | <i>Rs 20/5-0</i>                                                                                                                    |                |
| (d) If it is not a Muzrai institution, who has charge of it?                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                     |                |
| II. (a) Is it included in the printed list of Monuments? If so, to which class does it belong?                                                | <i>Yes Class 1.</i>                                                                                                                 |                |
| (b) If it is not so included, do you think it deserves inclusion?                                                                             |                                                                                                                                     |                |
| III. Is the Monument in a good state of preservation or does it require any repairs?                                                          | <i>The temple requires considerable repairs. The slabs have fallen down. The date says that a year back a new temple was built.</i> |                |

|                                                                                                                                                                                        | Remarks by the Sub<br>Division Officer                                                                                                                                        | I |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| IV. Is it free from vegetation and are its environs clean and tidy?                                                                                                                    | As the Arch has been cleared of vegetation and its environs are as clean as possible. The vegetation removed with a machete. The Arch is in a good state of preservation.     |   |
| V. Is there a care-taker for the Monument? If so, is his work satisfactory?                                                                                                            | No. The Arch is in charge of the Temple.                                                                                                                                      |   |
| VI. Were any repairs executed to the Monument during the year under report? If so, what was the nature of the repairs? When were they executed? and what was the amount spent?         |                                                                                                                                                                               |   |
| VII. Are the instructions contained in the Circular issued under Government Order No. 18265-325—G. M. 44-20-9, dated 31st March 1921, followed strictly in dealing with this Monument? | Instructions are being given to the caretaker to keep the Arch in a good state of preservation and to remove any vegetation that may grow on it.                              |   |
| VIII. Any further action considered necessary in your opinion in connection with this Monument.                                                                                        | It is necessary that a few bottles of 'Scrub Eradicant' or 'Kills Fluid' should be supplied to the caretaker to remove the growth of vegetation on the Arch and its environs. |   |

Date.....

*S. P. Subramanyam*  
Signature of Inspecting Officer.

No. *OC. 130*  
*21-22*

U.S. Deputy Commissioner's Office,  
.....District.

MEMO.

Forwarded with compliments to the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore.

Date *18 August 1922*  
*Chikmagalur*

WD 2218-GFB-1,000-14-7-21

*Chikmagalur*  
Deputy Commissioner.  
*Kadur Di*

Muz 4]

SUBJECT *Call for the Muzrai Comm<sup>tee</sup>'s opinion  
referring to the Yasi Amritaswamy  
at Amritapur, Tanikere Taluk.*

Diary No. of letter replied to *2100 1822*  
*-29.*

Muzrai Secretariat

( )  
, the 192

Section

File No. *418*

Serial No. *2*

No. *2865*  
*two*

Date of issue *21.5.23*

Signed by *M. T. E. J. Ariga*

To

*The Muzrai Comm<sup>tee</sup> in  
abeyance.*

*Yiv,*

*I am forwarding herewith  
copies of letters Nos. 70190 dated  
8th May 1923 from the Re-  
gistrar of the Mysore Uni-  
versity, Mysore, and of its  
enclosure in the matter of  
the urgent remuneration of the*

Yoni Amritavandana Temple  
at Appintapur, Tanikere Taluk,  
Mysore District  
to request that you will  
be as good as to furnish  
your opinion in the matter  
together with information as to  
the source from which the  
cost may be met.

I have etc.

Secretary to Govt,  
Revenue Dept.

26  
15/5/23

that  
your case & are in favor  
of the renovation of the instn.  
the source for which the  
cost may be met may  
be kindly indicated

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

41033

C 1633 4222

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 24th May 1923.

29

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,  
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,  
B a n g a l o r e.

30 MAY 1923  
MUZRAI  
D 2187

30 MAY 1923

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., P.A., B.L.,  
Secretary to the Government of His Highness  
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,  
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2365/M.F. 418-22-2 dated 21st May 1923 regarding the renovation of the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Amritapura in the Harikeere Taluk, I have the honor to state that I remember seeing it years ago, and have been wanting to see it again as it is one of the best works of art in the State, and that as preserving these ancient monuments of devotion and art is a legitimate charge on Muzrai Expenditure, the cost may be met from the interest income of the General Muzrai Fund for this or the next year.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

  
Assistant Commissioner  
for Muzrai Commissioner.  
Absent from station



Muz 4]

SUBJECT *Call for a revised estimate for the renovation of the Yri Amritswarandwami temple at Anissitafere, Yavilleu Park.*

Diary No. of letter replied to *21874*  
*22-23.*

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the

192

Section *mur*

File No. *418-22*

Serial No. *4*

No. *2969*

Date of issue *9.6.23*

*Pulharaya*

To

*The Chief Engineer of Mysore for Roads & Buildings.*

*Sir,*

*In inviting your attention to this office letter No. 6344/obj. 482-17-16 dated 12<sup>th</sup> May 1922 in the matter of the renovation of the Yri Amritswarandwami temple at Anissitafere, Yavilleu*

*12/5/23 -  
Returning the Estimate  
No. 6630*



Not  
Enc - 2332/me

69083 Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, 31st August 1923

Muz 418-22-88.5

FROM

K. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,

Registrar, Mysore University,

MYSORE.

TO  
K. V. Anantharaman, Esq., B.A.,  
General Secretary to the Government of  
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (in charge)  
Bangalore.

I am directed to forward herewith for information and favour of necessary action a copy of the notes of inspection by the Sub Division Officer Talukere regarding the Amriteswara Temple at Amritapura, Talukere taluk since received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dist. in continuation of this office letter No. 10130 dated the 8th May 1923 and also with reference to the Revenue Secretary's Memo No. 2871 dated the 8th June 1923.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

HSR. 31/8.

Registrar.

class does it belong. YES. 1st class

(b) If it is not so included

REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF ANCIENT MONUMENTS.

me of Institution.           ..           ..           ..           Amriteswara Temple.  
Place           ..           .           ..           Amritapura, Tarikere Taluk, Kadur District.  
Name of Inspecting Officer..           Mohomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq., B.A.,  
Designation.   ..   Sub-Divisional Assistant Commissioner, Tarikere.  
Date of Inspection.           ..           9th July 1923.

---

Remarks by the  
Sub-Division Officer.

Remarks by the  
Deputy Commissioner.

---

1. Nature of Monument.

- (a) Is it a temple, mosque,  
Darga, Tomb, Building,  
Inscription Memorial,  
etc., ?                               Siva Temple.
- (b) If it is a Muzrai  
Institution, is it a  
Major Institution or  
a Minor one ?                       Minor.
- (c) Are there any funds  
at the credit of the  
Institution? If so,  
what was the amount  
available at the begin-  
ing of the current  
official year ?                     YES.  
Rs. 275/4/8 on  
1st July 1923.
- (d) If it is not a Muzrai  
Institution, who has  
charge of it ?

- 2.(a) Is it included in the  
printed list of monu-  
ments ? If so, to which  
class does it belong.               YES. 1st Class.

- (b) If it is not so  
included, do you think  
it deserves inclusion ?

3. Is the Monument in a good  
state of preservation  
or does it require any  
repairs ?

The temple requires  
considerable repairs.  
Some slabs have fallen  
down. The Patel says that  
about 2 years back a  
massive carving gave way  
when the Archa was re-  
moving rank vegetation on  
the top of the temple.  
The broken piece is stuck  
up in the middle of Gomura.  
The temple requires early  
renovation; it is slowly  
decaying.

4. Is it free from vegetation  
and are its environs  
clean and tidy ?

Surroundings of the temple  
are not kept clean; rank vege-  
tation is not removed; temple  
is somewhat tidy. The Patel  
has been directed to remove

remove all rank vegetation and rubbish in the premises of the temple. The Amildar will note this and submit a special report for having done so.

Is there a care-taker for the monument ? No, the Patel and the Archak are in charge of the temple.  
If so, is his work satisfactory ?

Were any repairs executed to the monument during the year under report? If so what was the nature of the repairs? When were they executed? and what was the amount spent ?

NO

Are the Instructions contained in the Circular issued under G.O.No.19265-325 G.M. 44-20-9, dated 31st March 1921 followed strictly in dealing with this monument ? Instructions have been given to the Patel to keep the premises tidy and to use unslaked lime on and round the stumps of trees to prevent growth.

Any further action considered necessary in your opinion in connection with this monument ? It is very necessary that a few bottles of scrubberadicator or Atlas fluid should be supplied for the use to prevent the growth of vegetation, as observed at the time of previous inspection. In the meanwhile, unslaked lime will be tried and the result must be reported by the Patel and the Mobli Shekdar.

#### GENERAL.

This ~~is~~ is a grand temple and a very beautiful piece of architecture which must be preserved at all costs. But as it is though the central block is kept up, yet the surrounding three blocks are allowed to fall into ruins and neglected and no body takes care of them. They are quite as good and ~~quite~~ <sup>beautiful</sup> as any thing else. They need to be cleaned and restored.

The central block is also awfully leaky and is giving way in various places sporting and damaging the artistic work. These repairs appear to demand urgent attention. But the funds at the credit of the Institution are very small and the villagers cannot attend to this themselves.

It requires, rather, a considerable amount for the above temple to be restored properly and renovated and a care-taker should also be appointed. The Archak represents that the grant of Rs 2-10-0 per month to him is not sufficient and says ~~as~~ he will be compelled to leave the place.

There are some lands for the temple. The administration of this temple must be revised and brought up to-date with

with proper provision for its  
remains and upkeep. Apart from  
other consideration, the value  
of its architecture itself  
demands special treatment to  
this temple.

As this temple is a  
Lingayat community which is very  
rich, an amount of Rs. 10,000  
will be set aside for the  
community and especially the  
savery of the temple will be a great  
ray towards getting the temple  
upheld with the help of Rs. 10,000  
may be possible to take the  
temple to the temple.

I am afraid that, if  
the temple is allowed to remain as it  
is, in the course of time, the  
temple will be a great  
of which will be a great  
of remains.

*M. S. Narayana*

Muz 4]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 5174

Muzrai Secretariat

( )  
the 192 . }

Date of issue 27.9.23

Signed by Mr. De Subbayaappa

Section Muz

File No.

Serial No.

No.

~~478~~  
472-  
- 615 -

To

Chief Engineer  
for Engrs. & Architects.  
Bangalore

S. I.

In continuation of "S.

Letter No. 2969/16, 18.11.22,

7-6-23 regarding the proposed  
estimate for the renovation of  
the S. Krishna Temple at  
Ananthapur Taluk, District  
Rayachoti. The estimate  
has been submitted to the  
Muzrai Board in Mysore & the  
Director is forwarded herewith.  
A copy of letter No. 2969/16

from the Registrar, Mysore  
University, with a copy of its enclosure viz,  
the notes of inspection by the  
Sub Division offcr, Tarikere,  
regarding the Temple, and to  
request that the ~~costs~~ revised  
estimate called for may be sent  
up very early.

I have etc

88-  
18/9/23

ACG  
19/9/23 Secretary,  
Rev: Deptt  
19/9/23

Memo

Copy of the above, with copies  
of the Mysore University Registrar's  
letter & its enclosure, is forwarded,  
with compliments, to the Mg: Comr  
in Mysore, for information and  
necessary action.

By order

473/pul  
27-9-23

List of enclosures

Memo

Copies of the above are forwarded  
to the Registrar, Mysore University,  
with refer to his letter no. 2332 & 31-8-23

By order

Copied by

Examined by

474 &  
27 9.23

88-  
18/9/23

Secretary,  
Rev: Deptt  
19/9/23

WD 0563- GPB-2,500-27 7 22

No 2922

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,


Dated Bangalore. 28 August 1924.

28



J. Bhore, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,  
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.



K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No. 204/Muz. 418-22 dated the 5th August 1924, I have the honour to forward herewith, for favour of sanction and allotment of funds, an estimate amounting to Rs 2,110 for the renovation of the Sri Amriteswaraswami Temple at Anritapur in the Tarikere Taluk.

2. The proposals have been accepted by the Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner and the Director of Archaeology. The correspondence on the subject is herewith forwarded for perusal and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*J. Bhore*  
27.8.24

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

plen.  
estimate  
✓ Lh  
Letter  
Enclosure  
4

V.V.S.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 261

General and Revenue Secretariat

( my )  
, the 192

Date of issue.... 23.10.24

Signed by M. T. Ranaiza

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette,  
English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette,  
Kannada Part ?

Section *Muz.*

File No. 413-72

Serial No. 8.

Proceedings of the Government of  
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Correspondence ending  
with letter no. 2922 of 28.8.24,  
from the Chief Engineer of  
Mysore submitting for sanction  
an estimate for Rs 2110 for the  
renovation of the Sri Amrute-  
swaraswami temple at  
Amritapur in the Tarikere  
Taluk, and stating that the  
proposals have been accepted.  
Order Thereon No.  
by the Muz. Comr., Dy. Comr.  
and the Director of Archaeology

Order:- 579-82

The estimate for Rs 2110/-  
is sanctioned, the cost being

net from the interest earnings  
of the General Muzrai Fund.

The Chief Engineer is  
requested to have the work  
started at an early date  
and completed before the  
end of the <sup>financial</sup> calendar year.

82/  
5.10.24  
To

10<sup>th</sup> Secretary  
Rev. Dept.

15/11

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,  
returning the enclos. to his letter,

- " Mys: Commr. in Mysore  
with ref. to his letter no. 1633 of 2-23 & 24-5-23
- " Commr. to Govt.
- " Prof. Registrar, Mysore University  
with ref. to his letter no. 2332 of 31-8-23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by